

Kananur V Chandra: R D in Canada.

1. talked to ~~interviewed~~ immigrants from India, Pak, Bangladesh
 2. Talked w ~~interview~~ employers
 3. sent people w / equal qualifications to apply. 140 tests note: d/n attack structural racism.
- place: Montreal

Discrimination is of immediate concern. &

impressed w std of living ($1/2$) + w the freedom.

→ degree people felt discrimination most strongly.

10. longer in Canada, higher expectations + more outgoing

11. sources of disappointment prejudice = highest 45%
employment difficulties } reasons
+ unfriendliness

11. higher class = more concerned w preservation of life style

13. claim open ~~disc~~ segregation is more honest than hypocrisy.

Employers use arguments re "adaptation + adjustment" problems.

On jobs

Life easier?	yes	13%	17.
	no change	17%	
	more difficult	66%	←
	uncertain	4%	

78% employed, 22% unemployed J-Aug 1977

before immigrating

unskilled	2%
skilled	12
non manual	84%
other	2

p 21

26. Those whose 1st language was English: 80% gave evidence of discrimination.

33.4 table of tests.

40. Employers 1. language

2. not qualified

3. lack of Canadian experience

42 most of the sample are professionals

→ 51% : 47% owner or jointly shared accommodation
coloured discrimination in rent: ~~1/2~~ 10/80
∴ much lower than job discrimination.

→ Argument re property values

report ignores women.

1. Biased towards educated

" " towards older migrants